Sexually differentiated central pattern generators in *Xenopus laevis*
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Understanding the neural mechanisms that underlie the function of central pattern generators (CPGs) presents a formidable challenge requiring sophisticated tools and well-chosen model systems. In this article, we describe recent work on vocalizations of the African clawed frog *Xenopus laevis*. These behaviors are driven by sexually differentiated CPGs and are exceptionally well suited to this objective. In particular, a simplified mechanism of vocal production (independent of respiratory musculature) allows straightforward interpretations of nerve activity with respect to behavior. Furthermore, the development of a fictively vocalizing isolated brain, together with the finding of rapid androgen-induced masculinization of female vocalizations, provides an invaluable tool for determining how new behaviors arise from existing circuits.

Introduction

Neuroscientists typically focus on traits (ranging from systems to molecules) that are reproducible among all individuals within a species, with the hope of elucidating fundamental principles that apply across species, including humans. Evolutionary biologists, by contrast, focus on phenotypes that are variable among individuals within a species because inter-individual variation is a substrate upon which selective pressures act. From the intersection of these two disciplines emerges an extremely interesting topic — the neural basis of individuality. Although it is important to understand the functional uniqueness of individual brains, the large sample size required to address this question makes it impractical. A fruitful alternative is to compare the nervous system of different subgroups of individuals divided by age, sex or endocrine profile within a species. These comparisons can point to strategies that the central nervous system (CNS) employs to generate behavioral variation among individuals.

Vocalizations of African clawed frogs, *Xenopus laevis*, have been studied for the past three decades as a model for understanding sexually differentiated behaviors [1]. The *Xenopus* vocal pathway offers three major advantages for investigating the neural basis of behavior. First, a simple sound production mechanism (a single pair of muscles, separate from the respiratory system and dedicated for vocal production [2]) makes the *Xenopus* vocal circuitry one of the most ‘approachable’ motor pathways in vertebrates; this mechanistic specialization is likely accompanied by a simpler neuronal network. Second, vocal patterns are generated by a central pattern generator (CPG; see Box 1) located in the brainstem which allows ‘calling’ to be studied *in vitro* — fictive vocalizations can be elicited in the isolated brain [3]. Third, vocalizations are sexually distinct, unlike other well-studied rhythmic motor patterns (e.g. breathing, chewing and locomotion). Therefore, *Xenopus* vocalizations provide a rare opportunity to study steroid-controlled sexual differentiation of CPGs in detail. In this review, we summarize recent progress in the *Xenopus* vocal system, emphasizing these attributes that make it an excellent model for exploring the neural logic of behavior.

Vocalizations of *Xenopus laevis* and its androgen regulation

The vocal repertoire of adult *Xenopus* is rich; five calls are male specific (the advertisement call, amplexant call, answer call, chirping and growling), one call is female specific (rapping), and one call (ticking; also called release call in females) is shared by both sexes [4,5]. In this review, we concentrate on how the central vocal pathways generate sex-specific click rates, and how they are modified by androgen. The focus will be placed on advertisement call and ticking because they are the most common and best-studied vocalizations (Box 2).

Androgen regulates the production and sexual differentiation of *Xenopus* vocal traits, providing the chance to investigate sex-specific CPGs using a comparative approach. Wetzel and Kelley first demonstrated that androgens are necessary for male vocal production [6]. Calling is completely eliminated by castration (after approximately 1 month), but can be restored following several weeks of treatment with either testosterone (T) or dihydrotestosterone (DHT). These results reveal that androgen plays an ‘activational role’ in promoting male vocal behaviors [7].

Androgen also plays an ‘organizational role’ during development. Male and female frogs begin producing release calls as juveniles. Over time, males generate progressively faster trills that eventually become biphasic advertisement calls, whereas females produce release calls throughout development [8]. This behavioral differentiation correlates with postmetamorphic divergence of androgen levels in the sexes [9]. Because adult males are capable of release calling [4], androgen-induced vocal masculinization represents a gain of function — they acquire the ability to produce male-specific calls without losing the ability to produce release calls.

An exciting observation is that female *Xenopus* vocal patterns can also be masculinized by androgens in...
weeks after treatment initiation (Figure 1). Although mas-

Review

Box 1. Central pattern generators

Many rhythmic motor behaviors are produced by central pattern generators (CPGs), networks of neurons that are capable of generating coordinated output without sensory feedback [39]. Historically, rhythmic behaviors were believed to be generated as a result of chains of reflexes [40]. This view was overturned and replaced with the idea of endogenous oscillators: first with evidence provided by Graham-Brown [41], who demonstrated that rhythmic limb movements in mammals can be produced without central or peripheral rhythmic activity, and later by Wilson [42], who showed flight motor patterns in locust are generated without sensory input. Now CPGs that control various rhythmic motor behaviors in both vertebrates and invertebrates have been identified. One particularly useful attribute of CPGs is that many remain functional in completely isolated nervous systems, facilitating analyses at the level of neurons and synapses while functional output is monitored. Such analysis has led to the discovery of fundamental principles such as the interplay between membrane properties and synaptic strength in determining rhythmic output, dynamic roles of neuro-

Central pattern generators

The neural coding of sex-specific call patterns is straight-

adulthood. Two studies have investigated the effects of androgen treatment on vocal behavior of adult females. In both cases, significant but incomplete vocal masculinization was observed [10,11] (Figure 1). Using intensive sampling methods, Potter et al. showed the temporal progression from feminine to de-feminized and then masculi-

Figure 1. Androgen-induced masculinization of female vocalizations. A female that produced only ticking before testosterone treatment (top) began to produce biphasic advertisement calls within 8 weeks of the treatment (middle). The click rates are slightly slower than those of male advertisement calls (bottom). Figure reproduced, with permission, from [11], (2005) American Physiological Society.

factors that reconfigure circuits and the homeostatic nature of neuronal networks [43–48]. These findings are of universal importance because oscillatory processes seen in networks other than motor systems (including thalamus, cortex and sensory pathways) might share the same organizational principle as motor CPGs [50,51].

Elucidating principles of CPG function continues to be bolstered by investigations of a wide range of model organisms and systems. For example, powerful molecular and genetic tools available in some species (e.g. mice, zebrafish) are promoting the discovery of specific genes and molecules that underlie CPG structure and function [46,52]. Likewise, comparative approaches using species with distinct attributes – such as sex differences in Xenopus vocal systems [3], or species-specific escape behaviors of nudibranch molluscs [53] – are offering crucial insights into the cellular and synapicstic mechanisms of pattern generation. Ultimately, identifying the sources of sex- and species-specific variation might reveal mechanisms by which homologous neurons and circuits can diverge to generate novel motor outputs.

Like males, TFs maintain the ability to produce ticking after masculinization. Thus, androgen endows male and female Xenopus with an ability to generate advertisement calls without preventing the production of ticking, either during development or in adulthood. As is the case for males [4], the types of vocalizations produced by TFs depend on social context [11]. After 12 weeks of testosterone treatment, TFs produce advertisement calls when paired with females, and release calls when paired with sexually receptive males. This result indicates that females also acquire the ability to select appropriate call types in response to sensory cues provided by the same or opposite sex. In summary, the rapid vocal masculinization in female adults provides an opportunity to investigate the strategies used by the CNS to introduce new behaviors.

Figure 1. Androgen-induced masculinization of female vocalizations. A female that produced only ticking before testosterone treatment (top) began to produce biphasic advertisement calls within 8 weeks of the treatment (middle). The click rates are slightly slower than those of male advertisement calls (bottom). Figure reproduced, with permission, from [11], (2005) American Physiological Society.
and next examine suspected location of the vocal CPG in males and females.

The original identification of *Xenopus* vocal nuclei was achieved by autoradiographic analysis of androgen-concentrating neurons throughout the brain. These areas include the thalamus, infundibulum, anterior preoptic area, ventral striatum, dorsal tegmental area of the medulla (DTAM) and the motor nucleus (n.) IX-X [13]. Later studies using dye injection revealed a robust reciprocal connection between DTAM and n.IX-X [14,15] (Figure 3a). These two areas are of particular interest because the hindbrain contains the neural circuits that generate call-specific vocal rhythms in both sexes (see below). DTAM might be homologous to mammalian parabrachial nucleus (PB), believed to regulate calling in primates [16] and bats [17], because of its connection to respiratory/vocal motoneurons [18,19] and the basal forebrain [18,20] (Figure 3).

A recent tract-tracing study has begun to identify specific neuronal types in the vocal circuit [18]. In n.IX-X, four neuron types were described: glottal (respiratory) and laryngeal (vocal) motoneurons, commissural (IX-XIX-X) neurons that connect the nuclei bilaterally and DTAM-projecting (IX-IX DTAM) neurons with ascending inputs to DTAM (Figure 3b). In DTAM, three types of neurons were identified based on the patterns of projections to n.IX-X: ones that project ipsilaterally, contralaterally or bilaterally to n.IX-X (DTAMIX-X neurons). Double-labeling experiments showed that DTAMIX-X neurons project to all four

**Box 2. Sexually dimorphic calls differ in temporal and spectral traits**

*Xenopus* produces vocalizations under water, without breathing. The *Xenopus* vocal organ – the larynx – generates sounds (clicks) by a mechanism different from that of most vertebrates. Unlike terrestrial vertebrates, sound production does not require the flux of air across vocal folds. Instead, paired laryngeal muscles rapidly contract to snap apart two discs, which results in a click [2]. Thus, *Xenopus* calls are generated by a series of contractions and relaxations of laryngeal muscles at call-specific rates.

*Xenopus* vocalizations are made up of individual clicks produced in stereotyped temporal patterns. Two acoustic call features – temporal pattern and spectral frequency – are the sole elements that distinguish male and female calls. In male calls, clicks are repeated at wide-ranging rates (~2–80 Hz), whereas in female calls, clicks are repeated only at slow rates (~2–20 Hz). Dominant frequencies of male clicks are higher (~2 kHz) than those of females (~1 kHz; Figure 1c,d) [4]. A recent study showed that although the spectral structure of clicks elicits minor effects on behavioral responses, it is the rate, or temporal pattern, of clicks that provides the most salient information about the sex of the caller [54]. The advertisement call, produced exclusively by males, consists of two alternating click trills at ~35 Hz and ~70 Hz (slow trill and fast trill, respectively; Figure 1a). Ticking, predominantly produced by unreceptive females [4], is monophasic and slower (~6 Hz; Figure 1b).

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.png)

Figure 1. Temporal and spectral organization of advertisement calls and ticking. (a) Sound waveform of the male advertisement call that consists of alternating slow (~35 Hz) and fast (~70 Hz) trills. (b) Sound waveform of female ticking that consists of slow repetition of clicks (~6 Hz). (c,d) Two dominant frequency bands (~2 kHz) observed in male advertisement calls (c) are significantly higher than clicks produced by females (d).
identified neuron types in n.IX-X. Together, these results suggest that the robust reciprocal connection between n.IX-X and DTAM might be a vital feature in generating vocal patterns in *Xenopus*.

Where is the vocal CPG in *Xenopus*? Progressive transections using fictive preparation revealed that the brainstem contains the vocal CPG; isolated brainstems generate fictive advertisement calls in response to serotonin.

**Figure 2.** Laryngeal nerve activity as a direct readout of behavior. Simultaneous sound (top) and nerve recordings (middle) obtained from awake frogs show that each click is preceded by a compound action potential, indicating that the neuronal signals generated by the central vocal pathway are faithfully transduced into a series of clicks by the larynx. Serotonin-induced fictive vocalizations (bottom) are remarkably similar to nerve recordings obtained from awake frogs.

**Figure 3.** Brainstem vocal circuit of *Xenopus laevis*. (a) Dorsal view of the *Xenopus* CNS with key brainstem vocal nuclei. (b) Schematic diagram of the reciprocal connections between n.IX-X and DTAM. Four neuron populations – two motoneurons and two interneurons – have been identified in n.IX-X. Commissural neurons (magenta; IX-XIX-X) project to glottal (dark blue) and laryngeal (red) motoneurons and DTAM-projecting interneurons (light blue; IX-XDTAM). IX-XDTAM cells send robust inputs to DTAM, and all four n.IX-X neurons receive inputs from DTAM. Bars represent known excitatory synapses; arrows represent synapses with currently unknown valence [19]. Abbreviations: DTAM = dorsal tegmental area of medulla; OB = olfactory bulb; OT = optic tectum; T = thalamus; TEL = telencephalon; n.IX-X = motor nucleus IX-X; n.IX-X = cranial nerve IX-X; GM = glottal motoneuron; LM = laryngeal motoneuron; IX-XDTAM = DTAM-projecting n.IX-X interneuron; IX-XIX-X = n.IX-X-projecting commissural interneuron; DTAMIX-X = n.IX-X-projecting DTAM neuron.
Androgen receptors (nongenomic effects) [23]. Although androgens can rapidly alter Xenopus vocal pathways via nongenomic effects, as recently demonstrated in the vocal pathways of the midshipman fish [24,25], such short-term effects have yet to be explored. In this section, we review the long-term process of androgen-induced modulation of the vocal CPG, most likely mediated by genomic action.

Androgen receptors are found in laryngeal muscles [26,27] and in central vocal neurons [28]. Accordingly, masculinization can happen independently in each tissue at different rates and extents. One observation suggests that the CPG is masculinized faster and more completely than the larynx. Using TF isolated brains, Rhodes et al. [3] showed that the fictive nerve activity of an 8 week TF was more fully masculinized, in terms of CAP rates, than the actual behavior. The fully masculinized neuronal signal – alternating fast and slow CAP trills – generated by the vocal CPG could be low-pass filtered by an incompletely masculinized larynx – the muscle can tetanize during the fast portion, resulting in failure to produce clicks and periodic silent periods (Figure 4). This indicates that the vocal CPG is more ‘plastic’ and masculinizes more rapidly than the larynx.

An obvious question that arises from masculinization of the vocal CPG is the following. What elements of the CPG change in response to androgen? Androgen regulates...
several sexually distinct traits in the vocal circuit during development. For example, the number of neurons in the motor nucleus is larger [29–31], dendritic arborizations of n.IX-X neurons are more extensive [32] and motoneuron somata are larger in males than in females [11]. Functionally, laryngeal motoneurons are also sexually differentiated: for example, the hyperpolarization-activated inward current (Ih) is almost exclusively expressed in male motoneurons, and a low-threshold potassium conductance is more prominent in male than in female motoneurons [33]. Interestingly, vocal masculinization is not accompanied by complete morphological and physiological masculinization of the central vocal pathways. For instance, the number of motoneurons does not change even after extensive treatment with androgen in adulthood [30], whereas somata sizes become fully masculinized in as little as 1 week [11]. Non-masculinized characteristics such as motoneuron number are probably not necessary for the generation of advertisement calls. Instead, these features might be important for other traits unique to males, such as the production of other male-specific call types (e.g. growling) or the vocal endurance required to generate over 40 bouts of advertisement calls at a time without pause, neither of which has been observed in masculinized females. Identification of androgen-induced neuronal modifications that parallel vocal masculinization will lead to an understanding of key neural elements that underlie rhythm generation of advertisement calls.

Sexually differentiated CPGs
In vitro fictive studies indicate that the vocal CPG in females consists of a single network whereas the male CPG has two (or more) distinct components – one unique to males (advertisement call), and one shared with females (ticking). The ticking network is likely the default network, because juveniles and adults of both sexes share the call [8]. The CPG network generating advertisement calls, by contrast, seems to be a derived circuit added to the vocal pathways in response to androgen; increased androgen levels during development in males and exogenous application of androgen in juvenile or adult females both lead to the acquisition of advertisement calls. In this section, we will speculate on how ticking and advertisement call components of the CPG coexist in male and TF brains.

As discussed earlier, DTAM is essential for the production of both fictive ticking and advertisement calls. This means that in male and TF brains, the two oscillators are overlapping at the nucleus level. It is unclear, however, whether the same DTAM neuron population generates both calls, or whether separate populations are dedicated for each call. These two types of CPG organization, reorganizing (multifunctional) circuitry and dedicated circuitry, originally proposed by Morton and Chiel [34], are common architectural strategies employed by vertebrates and invertebrates in producing multiple motor patterns [35–38]. Although we do not have an answer to the question, the consequences of the two organizational schemes are quite different in TFs. If the TF CPG becomes multifunctional in response to androgen, then we expect that some neurons included in an existing ticking network undergo modifications and rewiring to execute new motor rhythms. If TFs acquire a dedicated CPG for advertisement calls de novo, then we expect that new circuits will be built, either by recruiting new neurons through neurogenesis, or latent neurons from existing pathways serving as a dedicated advertisement call CPG. Most likely, the new CPG will consist of two overlapping networks in which some neurons are dedicated to one pattern, whereas other neurons contribute to the production of both patterns, as seen in other systems (e.g. Ref. [36]). Analyses of single-neuron activity during ongoing rhythms (now possible with the fictive preparation) will allow us to answer this question in Xenopus males and TFs.

Although vocal masculinization induced by exogenous androgen in adult females might not be identical to male masculinization during development, the brevity of the masculinization process in adult females makes it more amenable to experimental procedures. Neuronal subtypes have been identified (for example, IX-X, IX-DTAM and DTAMIX-X) that can be substrates for androgen-mediated transformation of vocal CPGs, although additional neurons undoubtedly await discovery. The fictive preparation affords easy access to vocal nuclei during physiological and imaging techniques, thus providing the perfect arena for this endeavor.

Conclusion
Acquisition of a novel behavioral repertoire during development is universal, but its neural basis is poorly understood. For example, most male vertebrates and invertebrates acquire courtship behavior when they reach sexual maturity, and all metabolobus animals express new sets of behavioral patterns after metamorphosis. Understanding the neural processes that underlie androgen-induced vocal masculinization might shed light on the fundamental principles of the introduction of novel behavioral repertoires.

The Xenopus vocal system is a unique model for studying the neural basis of behavior. Of keen interest will be future research focused on understanding androgen-induced changes that take place at cellular, synaptic and network levels that underlie functional modifications. With the use of electrophysiological, anatomical and optical imaging techniques in fictive preparations, it should be possible to determine (i) the patterns of neuronal activity underlying two call patterns, (ii) whether networks are multifunctional or dedicated and (iii) how morphological and physiological properties of neurons and synapses are changed in response to androgen. Thus, by characterizing neural transformation that underlies functional modification of well-defined behavioral pathways, we can begin to understand how neural networks generate behavioral variation.
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